Microcontroller Based Power Factor Controller Using Static VAR Compensator

Vishal Nayyar¹, S. Chatterji², Ritula Thakur³, P.K.Lehana⁴

Government Polytechnic for Women, Jammu-180001, India NITTTR, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160019, India NITTTR, Panjab University Chandigarh-160019, India University of Jammu, Jammu- 180001, India

¹vishalnayyar_meenu@rediff.com

Abstract- Power factor correction (PFC) is a technique of counteracting the undesirable effects of electric loads that create a power factor that is less than one. Power factor correction may be applied either by an electrical power transmission utility to improve the stability and efficiency of the transmission network or correction may be installed by individual electrical customers to reduce the costs charged to them by their electricity supplier. In order to improve transmission efficiency, power factor correction research has become a hot topic. Many control methods for PFC have been proposed. This paper proposes the design and development of a power factor corrector using PIC (programmable interface controller) microcontroller chip. This involves measuring the power factor value from the load using PIC and proper algorithm to determine the sufficient firing angle to trigger the TRIAC in order to compensate excessive reactive components, thus bringing power factor near to unity.

Index Terms- Static VAR compensator (SVC), power factor controller, thyristor controlled reactor (TCR), energy saving, microcontroller PIC 16F877A.

I. INTRODUCTION

The deterioration in power system quality is caused mainly by the use of constant growth of nonlinear loads, which essentially contain loads such as induction motor, arc furnaces, transformers, etc., Electric power quality is an area of study that includes methods or devices designed to maintain a near sinusoidal voltage waveform, at the rated voltage magnitude and frequency for power systems. Also an adequate reactive-power support is important to improve the electrical grid stability. Loads such as induction motor, arc furnaces, etc., are inductive in nature and hence have low lagging power factor. There are different methods of power factor correction implemented with large lagging or leading nonlinear loads [1]. The most conventional methods used to improve power factor is group of capacitors connected in parallel and synchronous condenser (i.e. synchronous motor when operated in over-excited mode). The capacitor bank allows a step by step control of the reactive power delivered by group of capacitors though Synchronous condensers in comparison provides smooth control of reactive power but it requires substantial amount of foundation, starting and protective equipment. Synchronous condensers cannot be controlled fast enough to compensate for rapid load changes due to large time constant of their field circuit and they have

much higher losses. One of the new approaches is to use a variable inductor in parallel with a fixed capacitor as a reactive power compensating circuit [5-6]. In this method the reactive power taken from the supply can be regulated continuously by appropriately controlling the firing angle of TCR from /2 to or in other words the source power factor gets improved from lagging to leading as the firing angle is progressively adjusted from /2 to . The SVC is characterized by continuous control, low losses, redundancy, and flexibility. The main concept behind controlling TCR is the control of the firing instant of the thyristor to control the current in the reactor, thus controlling the reactive power absorbed by the TCR.

The adjustment of the thyristors' firing angle involve measuring the power factor value from the load using microcontroller and developing proper algorithm to determine the desired firing angle to control the current flowing through TCR in order to compensate excessive reactive components, thus bringing power factor near to unity. The system can adjust the supplied system power factor to almost any required reference value. The proposed power factor correction scheme will be designed to operate with most of the possible lagging or leading values of the load power factor. This shows the adaptability of this power factor corrector.

This paper proposes a real-time microcontroller (PIC 16F877A) based power factor correction scheme for low power factor loads. The hardware and software required to implement the suggested adaptive power factor correction scheme are explained, and its operation is described in the following sections.

II. BLOCK DIAGRAM AND DESCRIPTION

The block diagram of the microcontroller based power factor corrector is shown in Figure 1. Microcontroller 16F877A with a crystal frequency of 4 MHz is used in the proposed scheme. The static compensator employed in the system is a parallel combination of fixed capacitor and a TRIAC controlled reactor. The TRIAC is used to control the current flow through the inductor. The Load voltage and current signals, taken through a potential transformer and a current transformer, respectively, is applied to zero crossing detectors. Subsequently, the two sinusoidal waveforms are modified to

Vishal Nayyar et al. / IJAIR

square waves through two zero-crossing detectors as the microcontroller can only detect the digital input signal, or known as pulse. The output pulses obtained from the zero crossing detectors are used to measure the phase displacement between voltage and current (I_L). The detail of zero crossing detectors is depicted in Figure 2. LM339 is a comparator IC which compares the inputs with a reference value and provides the output as pulse.



Fig. 1 Overview of the block diagram for the microcontroller-based power factor controller using Static VAR compensator



Fig. 2 Zero Crossing Detector

Vol. 2 Issue 2

The synchronizing unit produces a pulse at each zerocrossing of the supplied sine wave voltage. The output pulses obtained from the synchronizing unit are applied to the input of microcontroller as a reference in order to achieve a required firing pulse to control the TRIAC firing angle. The pulse signal from the microcontroller drives the gate of the TRIAC so as to control the reactor current. The load phase angle, $\phi_{\rm L}$ between the fundamental components of the load voltage and current (V and I_L respectively) is measured by the microcontroller. The measured reactive power is then compared with look up table and that determines the number that is loaded into the programmable interval timer in order to change the firing angle of the TRIAC in the static compensator circuit. These changes should be in the direction that reduces the phase angle ϕ_m between supply voltage and current (V and I_m respectively) to a certain acceptable tolerance value.

III. CONTROL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The Figure 3 shows the circuit diagram for the power factor controller technique using SVC which consists of a variable RL-Load, whose power factor is to be improved and Static VAR Compensator branch, a fixed capacitor in parallel with TCR. The Figure 4 shows the equivalent circuit of SVC. The TCR is used to support the variable-load reactive-power demand by changing the reflected inductance. The main concept behind controlling TCR is the control of the firing instant of the thyristor to control the current in the reactor, thus controlling the reactive power absorbed by the TCR.



Fig. 3 Circuit diagram for the power factor controller using SVC



Fig. 4 Equivalent Circuit of SVC

A. Extraction of Firing Angle

The current flowing through the reactor can be controlled by varying the firing angle of the TRIAC and is given by:

$$I_{L} = \frac{V}{\breve{S}L} \times \frac{2f - 2r + \sin 2r}{f}$$
(1)

The reflected reactance can be varied and its value as a function of firing angle is given by:

$$L(\Gamma) = L \frac{f}{2f - 2\Gamma + \sin 2\Gamma}$$
(2)

Where the firing angle (x) is bounded as (/2) < <

The Figure 5 shows the variation of reflected reactance with respect to firing angle (α) for L=10 mH, it can be seen from the plot that the reflected reactance is 4 for = 90⁰ and the reflected reactance increases with increase in firing angle, the reflected reactance is for = 180⁰.

B. Variation of Reactive Power of Static VAR compensator W.R.T Firing Angle

The reactive power (Q) taken from the supply can be regulated continuously by appropriately controlling the firing angle of TCR from /2 to or in other words the source power factor gets improved from lagging to leading as the firing angle is progressively altered from /2 to .

The reactive power of Static VAR compensator is given by:

$$Q(\Gamma) = V^2 (B_c - B_L(\Gamma)) \tag{3}$$

$$B_c = \check{\mathsf{S}}_0 C \tag{4}$$

The susceptance of TCR as a function of firing angle is given by:

$$B_L(\Gamma) = B_L \frac{2f - 2\Gamma + \sin 2\Gamma}{f}$$
(5)

$$B_L = \frac{1}{\check{S}_0 L} \tag{6}$$

Where the firing angle () is bounded as (/2) < <



Fig. 5 Curve between TCR reactance vs firing angle



Fig. 6 Curve between reactive power of SVC vs firing angle

The reactive power of the SVC is either positive or negative, as from equation (3) the sign of the reactive power depends on the firing angle of the TCR. If $B_c < B_L()$, the sign of the reactive power is negative thus the SVC provides lagging reactive power i.e. the SVC acts as inductive reactance where as if $B_c > B_L()$, the sign of the reactive power is positive thus the SVC provides leading reactive power i.e. the SVC acts as capacitive reactance. The figure 6 shows the variation of reactive power of SVC w.r.t firing angle ().

IV. MICROCONTROLLER ROUTINES

The microcontroller PIC16F877A has five I/O ports, namely PORTA, PORTB, PORTC, PORTD and PORTE. PORTD has eight pins. RD0, RD1 are programmed to operate as input pins, in which the RD0 representing voltage zero crossing detector pin and RD1 representing current zero crossing detector pin, the synchronizing pulse is applied to RB0, which is an external interrupt pin. Meanwhile, the pin RC0 operates as output pin. The microcontroller PIC16F877A has three timers namely TIMER0, TIMER1 and TIMER2. TIMER1 is a 16-bit timer having two 8-bit registers TMR1L and TMR1H.

The flow chart of the program has been developed and is given in Figure 7. Initially the TIMER0 is initialized with TRIAC firing angle of 6ms.

First step is to step down the voltage from higher level to 4V to 5V level which is suitable for PIC. Outcome of input voltage after passing through the diode before enters into pin port (A1 & A2), the diode clipped the negative portion of the sine waveform so that to prevent PIC take in excessive negative voltage. The outcomes of rectified voltage and current are shown in the Fig. 5.2 respectively. At the same time, it drains some voltage hence channels waveform is slightly lower. A series resistor of 10K is connected to analogue channel which acts as a buffer. We take the analog channel 1(RA1) for current measurement and analog channel 2(RA2) for voltage measurement. These analog channels are connected to the inbuilt analog to digital conversion (ADC) module of microcontroller. ADC module converts the analog signals to the 10 bit digital value using successive approximation method.

Second step is to calculate the phase angle between load voltage (V) and load current (I_L). The 16- bit TIMER1 is used

Vishal Nayyar et al. / IJAIR

to calculate the phase angle. When input RD0 goes high at the end of the low input test, the microcontroller TIMER1 is turned ON and starts testing input RD1. If the input is high, this denotes that the load current (I_L) lags the main supplied voltage and that can be referred as lagging power factor. As long as input RD0 is high, the microcontroller keeps looping and testing the input. As the input at RD1 changes its state from high to low the TIMER1stops and thus the time gap between voltage and current is calculated. If the contents of TIMER1 are found to be zero, this means that load phase angle equals to 0, which in turn means that the fundamental supplied voltage and load current are in phase and if the contents of TIMER1 are found to be in between 0 to 625_d , this means that load phase angle is lagging and it lies between 0⁰ to 90⁰.



Fig. 7 Flow Chart of the Proposed PFC Circuit (Cont.)



(Cont.) Fig. 7 Flow Chart of the Proposed PFC Circuit

The delay in ms, the phase angle, power factor and reactive power of load at supply frequency 50HZ, and at rated voltage of 220V are calculated by the following relations.

$$Delay (in ms) = 4*(Prescale*Timer1/F_{OSC.})$$
(7)

Here; Prescale=8, F_{Oscillator} = 4 MHZ

$$Phase Angle = 1.8*(Delay (in ms)/20msec)*360$$
(8)

$$Power factor = cos (Phase Angle)$$
(9)

Reactive Power (Q) =
$$V*I*sin$$
 (10)

After that, the microcontroller reloads the value of from the look up table and the above sequence is repeated.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed microcontroller based power factor controller using SVC is implemented and tested, with the load specifications given in Table I, static VAR compensator branch specifications given in Table II and TRIAC firing angle () specification to correct the power factor in Table III.

© 2013 IJAIR. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Vishal Nayyar et al. / IJAIR

| TABLEI |
|--------------------------------|
| LOAD PARAMETERS FOR SIMULATION |

| Parameter | Value |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| line voltage and frequency | Vs (rms) =220 V, fs = 50Hz |
| fixed load impedance (choke) | Rc = 136 , $Lc = 1.61 H$ |
| variable load resistance | R = 0.550 |
| load phase angle | $=33.0^{\circ}-74.8^{\circ}$ |
| load reactive power | Q = 27-90 VARs |

TABLE III

| SVC BRANCH PARAMETERS | |
|-----------------------|--|
| | |

| Parameter | Value |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| fixed capacitor | $C = 6.5 \mu F$ |
| thyristor controlled reactor | $R_{TCR} = 11.08$, $L_{TCR} = 0.34H$ |
| impedance | |
| reactive power of svc | Qsvc = -334.0 to +98 VARs |
| firing angle () of ter | $=90^{\circ}-180^{\circ}$ |

TABLE IIIII Look Up Table

| Q _{RL - Load} In VARs | Load Phase Angle | Firing angle Time Period in msecs | Firing angle In degree | TIMER1 Values in HEX |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 33 | 35.0° | 7.37 ms | 132^{0} | FC65 |
| 45 | 40.7^{0} | 7.77 ms | 139 ⁰ | FC33 |
| 59 | 51.8° | 8.00 ms | 144^{0} | FC17 |
| 75 | 61.4° | 8.32 ms | 149^{0} | FBEE |
| 90 | 74.5° | 8.74 ms | 157^{0} | FBBA |

The proposed control strategy is implemented with a PIC 16F877A microcontroller, PIC16F877A is based on the core technology of microchip MPLAB IDE which is compatible with the assembly language as well as higher level language such as most common language C with suitable software and hardware support. An evaluation version of microchip MPLAB IDE along with Hi-Tech ANSI C compiler was down loaded from the website: to convert a C program to machine language, it takes several steps however, and the main idea is to produce a HEX file at the end. This HEX file was then used by the 'burner' EC804_Flash_Programmer, is Serial Universal Device Programmer to write every byte of data at the appropriate place in the Flash memory of the PIC16F877A. The Code memory array of the PIC16F877A can be programmed using the serial In System Programming (ISP) interface. The serial interface consists of pins SCK, MOSI (input) and MISO (output) of the microcontroller IC PIC16F877A. Before a reprogramming sequence can occur, a Chip Erase operation is required.

The load reactive power in proposed work is varied in steps from 29-90 VARs by varying the variable load resistance in steps. During the change of load condition, the system maintains a unity-power-factor operation and the main current is also decreased from its load current. The results confirm the good performance of the power factor controller for different load conditions. The observation of the results has been

proposed into 2 study cases depending on the load conditions. i.e. for a phase angle of 33.3° and 74.5° .

Vol. 2 Issue 2

A. Study Case (1)

In this case, the system voltage lags the load current by a phase angle of 33.3° . The microcontroller then determines the reactive power of the load i.e. 26 VARs and accordingly picks up the TRIAC firing angle from the look-up table, which is 7.37ms or 132° and thus can be observed in the Figure 8 that the system voltage and main current (I_m) maintains a unity-power factor operation and the main current (I_m) is also decreased to that of load current (I_L).



Fig. 8 Waveforms for study case 1

B. Study Case (2)

In this case, the system voltage lags the load current by a phase angle of 74.5° . The microcontroller then determines the reactive power of the load i.e. 90 VARs and accordingly picks up the TRIAC firing angle from the look-up table, which is 8.74 ms or 157° and thus can be observed in the Figure 9 that the system voltage and main current (I_m) maintains a unity-power factor operation and the main current (I_m) is also decreased to that of load current (I_L).



Fig. 9 Waveforms for study case 2

VI. CONCLUSION

This thesis work is an attempt to design and implement the power factor controller using PIC micro controller. PIC monitors continuously the load power factor and takes the control action. This thesis gives more reliable and user friendly power factor controller. This thesis also facilitates to monitor the power factor changes on LCD in real time.

A microcontroller-based power factor corrector for single phase low power factor loads has been presented in terms of the software algorithm development. The system can adjust the supplied system power factor to almost any required reference value. The proposed power factor correction scheme is designed to operate with most of the possible lagging or leading values of the load power factor. This shows the adaptability of this power factor corrector. This is because its operation principles' is based on the measurement of the displacement angle between the fundamental components of the supplied voltage and current, rather than measuring the system voltage signal. The principle of operation adopted in this paper may be applied further in designing the power factor correction schemes for loads driven by 3-phase voltage supplies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research, Chandigarh, Panjab University, University of Jammu and Government Polytechnic for Women, Jammu for allowing to carry out the research project. The authors would also like to express greatest thankfulness to the staffs in the Laboratory of Electrical and Electronics department, NITTTR for their valuable contributions towards the success of this project.

REFERENCES

- Nalbant M.K., "Power Factor Calculations and Measurements", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Applied Power Electronics, pp.543 –552, 1990.
- [2] Ioannides M.G., Papadopoulos P.J., "Speed and Power Factor Controller for AC Adjustable Speed Drives", Proceedings of IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, Vol. 6, pp.469 – 475, 1991.
- [3] Ismail K.Said, Marouf Pirouti, "Neural Network Based Load Balancing and Reactive Power Control by Static VAR compensator", International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering, Vol.1, No.1, pp.25-31, 2009.
- [4] Malesani, Rossetto, Spiazzi, Tenti, "Three-Phase Power Factor Controller With Minimum Output Voltage Distortion", Proceedings of IEEE conference on Telecommunications Energy, Vol.2, pp.463–468, 1993.
- [5] Miller M., Buffin A., Carlsson U., "High Frequency ZVS for High Power Rectifiers", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Telecommunications Energy, Vol.1, pp.424–430, 1993.
- [6] Jonathan Berge, Rajiv K. Varma "Design and Development of a Static VAR compensator for Load Compensation using Real Time Digital Simulator and Hardware Simulation", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Power Engineering pp.6-12, 2007.
- [7] Toshiaki Kurachi, Masahito Shoyama, Tamostu Ninomiya, "Analysis of Ripple Current of an Electrolytic Capacitor in Power Factor Controller", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Power Electronics and Drive Systems, Vol.1, pp.48–53, 1995.

- [8] Carlos Augusto Ayres, Ivo Barbi, "CCM Operation Analysis of a Family of Converters for Power ecycling During the Burn-In Test of Synchronized UPS", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Power Electronics Specialists, Vol.2, pp.986-992, 1996.
- [9] Abdelhamid Hamadi, Salem Rahmani, Kamal AI-Haddad, "A Hybrid Passive Filter Configuration For VAR Control And Harmonic Compensation ", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol.57, No.7, pp.2414–2436, 2010.
- [10] Enrico Dallago, Gabriele Sassone, Marco Storti, Giuseppe Venchi, G., "Experimental Analysis and Comparison on a Power Factor Controller Including a Delta – Sigma Pressing Stage", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol.45, No.4, pp.544–551, 1998.
- [11] Richard Tinggren, Mathews, Rick Tyner, "Power Factor Controller- an Integrated Power Quality Device", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Transmission and Distribution, Vol.2, pp.572-578, 1999.
- [12] Cereda C., Gemme C., Moratto A., Tinggren R., "Innovative Solutions for Power Quality in a Deregulated Market", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Industry Applications, Vol.2, pp.932 – 939, 2000.
- [13] Ali A.R., Negm M.M., Kassas M., "A PLC Based Power Factor Controller for a 3-Phase Induction Motor", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Industry Applications, Vol.2, pp.1065-1072, 2000.
- [14] Machmoum M., Coulibaly P., Abdelli P., "A Power Factor Controller for Three-Phase PWM Rectifiers and Shunt Active Power Filters", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power, Vol.2, pp.626-631, 2002.
- [15] Kim, Choi, Kwon, B.H., "High-Performance Line Conditioner with Output Voltage Regulation and Power Factor Correction", IEEE Proceedings on Electric Power Applications, Vol.151, pp.91-97, 2004.
- [16] Kiprakis A.E., Wallace A.R., "Maximising Energy Capture from Distributed Generators in Weak Networks", IEEE Proceedings on Generation, Transmission and Distribution, Vol.151. No. 5, pp.611-618, 2004.
- [17] Consoli A., Testa A., Aiello N., Gennaro F., M. Lo Presti, "Unipolar Converter for Switched Reluctance Motor Drives with PowerFactor Improvement", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Applied Power Electronics, Vol.2, pp.1103-1108, 2001.
- [18] Walmir Freitas, Andre Morelato, Wilsun Xu, Fujio Sato, "Impacts of AC Generators and DSTATCOM Devices on the Dynamic Performance of Distribution Systems", IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol.20. No. 2, pp.1493-1501, 2005.
- [19] Carlos Meza, Domingo Biel, Juan Martinez, Francese Guinjoan, "Boost- Buck Inverter Variable Structure Control for Grid-Connected Photovoltaic Systems", Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Vol.2, pp.1318–1321, 2005.
- [20] Mario Cacciato, Alfio Consoli, Salvatore De Caro, Antonio Testa, A. "Using the Dc-Bus Current to Improve the Power Factor in Low-Cost Electric Drives", IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, Vol.41, No.4, pp.1084-1090, 2005.
- [21] Nader Barsoum, "Programming of PIC Micro-Controller for Power Factor Correction", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Modeling & Simulation, pp.19-25, 2007.
- [22] Chen Junling, Li Yaohua, Wang Ping, "A Novel Combined System Using Cascaded Active Power Filter and Static VAr Compensator for High- Power Applications", Proceedings of International Conference on computer distributed control and Intelligent Environmental Monitoring, Vol.5, No.11, pp.664-667, 2011.
- [23] Mark Ndubuka, "Voltage Stability Improvement Using Static VAr Compensator in Power System," *Leonardo Journal of Science* ,Vol.14, pp.167-172, 2009.