## PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF MATERIAL PROVIDER'S ORGANIZATION UNDER GREY-MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION ON THE BASIS OF SIMPLE RATIO ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

 <sup>1</sup>Nagesh Chandra Sahu, <sup>2</sup>Nitin Shukla
 <sup>1</sup>M-Tech Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engg,
 Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur, (C.G.), India

## **ABSTRACT:**

Green-economic-lean' measurement is the holistic chain which stresses on strengthens the means of the implementation and regeneration of manufacturing system of each firm globally. In last decade, each firm has begun to establish the production with rapid rate in compensating the high demand of goods with rich-quality of service level. In order to respond these matters, firm perceived the necessity to balance their production chain of organization. In the presented research work, the authors proposed a DSS, consist of 'green-economic-lean' module and grey--to evaluate the performance of stuff providers. Proposed DSS can make decision under incomplete information of committee of decision makers (DMs)l) against vague practices. This is core novelty of this paper.

**Keywords:** GEL Sustainability Model, Professionals, Grey Number, MOOSRA Techniques.

## I. INTRODUCTION OF GEL PRACTICES:

GEL i.e. Environmental (Green) concerns help the material providers to hike the sale of their stuffs / products by playing with the emotions of their partners (stuffs purchasers / manufacturing firm). Minimization of the waste is related to lean manufacturing to eliminate the waste, although, it is time, material effort, money, capital etc. It also wins the trust of product purchasing organization. Economic deliver message to material providers for maintaining the contracted rate, fast response against claim for purchased goods and best service level for their partners firm.

## **II. MULTIPLE-OBJECTIVE PROBLEMS:**

These problems consist of a finite number of alternatives experiments /options, explicitly known at the starting of the solution process. Each alternative is represented by its performance in multiple-objective. The problem may be defined as finding the best alternative for the decisionmaking group, or finding a set of suitable alternatives. One may also be interested in 'sorting' or 'classifying' alternatives. Sorting refers to placing the alternatives in a set of preference-ordered classes (such as assigning credit-ratings to countries), and classifying refers to assigning alternatives to non-ordered sets (such as diagnosing patients based on their symptoms).

### **III. OBJECTIVE:**

Grey-based GEL sustainability appraisement model constructed by identifying and short momentous measures and measures' interrelated practices. The Grey-based GEL sustainability appraisement model is valid towards assessing the sustainability of material supplier organizations. Fig. 1 has shown the GEL sustainability model.



Fig. 1 the GEL sustainability model.

## **IV. GREY SET THEORY:**

In present context, the authors have fruitfully implemented grey theory (discrete information system) deals with utterly known cum unknown information, where utterly known information is being enveloped passing through white number, while unknown information is enveloped passing through black number. In grey theory, discrete information aligns the amputation of both information that cope the range of the grey system itself. Grey theory has now been applied to various areas such as forecasting, system control, and decision-making and computer graphics. grey set and grey number in grey theory Deng, the concept of a grey system is shown in fig. 2





#### V. NOVELTY OF RESEARCH WORK:

The authors has proposed a crisp AHP significance ratio evaluation technique with the new concept of global weight evaluation coupled with Grey- to tackle the incomplete information of

decision makers against practices new concept of global weight evaluation coupled with Grey- to tackle the incomplete information's decision makers against vague practices.

## VI. METHOD

# The Grey-Multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis:

Determining overall ranking index based on Multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis decision making evaluation techniques, it was the extensive part of MOOSRA formula **Stanujkic et al.** [1]; **Vinodh and Balaji** [4] **Samantra** [2]; **Naim and Gosling** [6] **Sahu et al.** [40]; Liu et al. [5].

Where,

Here,  $y_j^*$  as the overall ranking index of alternative j;  $y_j^+$  and  $y_j^-$  as total sums of maximizing and minimizing responses of alternative *j* to objectives respectively;  $S_i$  as significance coefficient of objective i;  $x_{ii}^*$  and  $\otimes x_{ii}^* \ldots$ as the normalized responses of alternative *i* on different objectives, which are expressed in the form on crisp or interval grey numbers;  $\Omega_{+}_{C}$  and  $\Omega_{+}_{G}$  assets of objectives to be maximized expressed in the form on crisp or interval grey numbers;  $\Omega_{\bar{c}}$  and  $\Omega_{\bar{d}}$  are sets of objectives to be minimized expressed in the form crisp or interval numbers. on grey

#### **VII EMPIRICAL CASE RESEARCH:**

*Step 1:* Construction of a cluster of expert's panel for assessing the overall Lean-Agile (L-A) performances of material provider firm under proposed module, shown in Table1 1.

*Step 2:* Evaluation of suitable linguistic grey scale in terms of appropriateness ratings, shown in Table1 2.

Step 3: Evaluation of performance ratings. Weights is given by DM in crisp values are given here i.e. [0.019, 0.023, 0.039, 0.051, 0.072, 0.105, 0.153, 0.223, 0.314]. For 2<sup>nd</sup> level hierarchy and global weight of 1st level. The computed global weights for first level are i.e. 0.081, 0.228 and 0.691, shown in fig 3.





*Step4:* Transform the linguistic variables into grey set and then assigned linguistic terms (as rating) shown in Table 3-5. Then converts into single responses.

Step 5: Applied equation 1 to normalize data and multiplied by global weight.Step6: Estimation of overall performance of material supplier firm by using equation 2. The result shown in table 6

Table: 1. Grey-bas	sed GEL sustainability	appraisement hierarchica	al structural evaluation model
V	/inodh and Balaji [4]	; Sahu et al. [3]; Matawa	ale et al. [8]

Goal, (C)	Measures, (C <sub>i</sub> )		Practices, (C <sub>ij</sub> )	Attitudes		
		(+)	Continues utility of eco friendly	(+)		
			equipments, $(C_{1,1})$			
	Green Manufacturing,		Environmental management	(+)		
Material	$(C_1)$		certification (C1, <sub>2</sub> )			
Drowidar			Restriction of hazardous	(+)		
TTOVILLET			substance, $(C_{1,2})$			
Sustainability		(-)	Enhancement in purchasing rate,	(-)		
Moasuromont			$(C_{2,1})$			
meusurement	Economic, $(C_2)$		Claim against purchasing goods,	(-)		
			$(C_{2,2})$			
			Delay in service level, (C <sub>2,3</sub> )	(-)		
	I and manufacturing	(-)	Over processing, (C <sub>3,1</sub> )	(-)		
	Lean manufacturing $(C_2)$		Unwanted production, $(C_{3,2})$	(-)		
	(03)		Unnecessary movement, (C <sub>3,3</sub> )	(-)		

Table 2:	The scale	of attribute	ratings	$\otimes G$
----------	-----------	--------------	---------	-------------

Scale	$\otimes r$
Very Poor (VP)	[0, 1]
Poor (P)	[1, 3]
Medium Poor (MP)	[3, 4]
Fair (F)	[4, 5]

Medium Good (MG)	[5, 6]
Good (G)	[6, 9]
Very Good (VG)	[9, 10]

Practices, (C <sub>ij</sub> )	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Continues utility of eco friendly equipments, $(C_{1,1})$	G	VG	G	VG	G
Environmental management certification (C1, <sub>2</sub> )	VG	MP	VG	VG	VG
Restriction of hazardous substance, $(C_{1,2})$	MP	MP	F	MG	F
Enhancement in purchasing rate, $(C_{2,1})$	MP	VG	F	G	F
Claim against purchasing goods, $(C_{2,2})$	MP	F	F	VG	F
Delay in service level, $(C_{2,3})$	MP	F	Р	MG	F
Over processing, $(C_{3,1})$	VG	F	VG	MG	MP
Unwanted production, $(C_{3,2})$	MG	G	F	MG	MP
Unnecessary movement, $(C_{3,3})$	MG	G	F	F	MP

Table.3 Appropriateness grey rating against practices for A<sub>1</sub>

Table.4 Appropriateness grey rating against practices for A2

Practices, (C <sub>ij</sub> )	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Continues utility of eco friendly equipments, $(C_{1,1})$	MG	G	MG	F	G
Environmental management certification (C1, <sub>2</sub> )	G	G	MG	G	MG
Restriction of hazardous substance, $(C_{1,2})$	G	G	MG	MG	MP
Enhancement in purchasing rate, $(C_{2,1})$	G	G	F	MG	MP
Claim against purchasing goods, $(C_{2,2})$	G	MG	F	MG	F
Delay in service level, $(C_{2,3})$	MG	MG	VG	VG	F
Over processing, $(C_{3,1})$	MG	MG	F	F	VG
Unwanted production, (C <sub>3,2</sub> )	G	F	F	MG	MP
Unnecessary movement, $(C_{3,3})$	G	MG	VG	MG	MP

Practices, (C <sub>ij</sub> )	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Continues utility of eco friendly equipments, $(C_{1,1})$	G	MG	F	MG	MP
Environmental management certification (C1,2)	G	MG	F	VG	MP
Restriction of hazardous substance, (C <sub>1,2</sub> )	G	MG	F	F	VG
Enhancement in purchasing rate, $(C_{2,1})$	VG	MG	G	F	F
Claim against purchasing goods, $(C_{2,2})$	VG	VG	Р	MG	F
Delay in service level, $(C_{2,3})$	MG	G	VP	F	F
Over processing, $(C_{3,1})$	MG	G	VP	F	VG
Unwanted production, (C <sub>3,2</sub> )	MG	G	G	G	F
Unnecessary movement, (C <sub>3,3</sub> )	MG	VG	G	MG	VG

Table.5 Appropriateness grey rating against practices for A<sub>3</sub>

Table.6 Ranking results obtained using Grey-Multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis form technique for  $\lambda = 0, 0.5, 1$ 

λ	$\lambda = 0$	<i>λ</i> =0.5	λ=1

Alternatives	$\mathcal{Y}_{j}^{+}$	Ranking	${\mathcal Y}_{j}^{+}$	Ranking	${\mathcal Y}_{j}^{+}$	Ranking
A <sub>1</sub>	1.562	1.000	1.750	1.000	1.375	1.000
A <sub>2</sub>	1.171	2.000	1.254	2.000	1.089	2.000
A <sub>3</sub>	1.061	3.000	1.196	3.000	0.926	3.000

### **VIII. RESULTS:**

Grey-multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis technique is applied on the Grey-based GEL sustainability appraisement hierarchical structural evaluation model (constructed by identifying and short listing **3** momentous measures and **9** measures' interrelated practices via literature review i.e. Matawale et al. [34]; Sahu et al. [40]; Vinodh and Balaji [43]. The result found was Grey-multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis technique is optimum. The sustainability of 1<sup>st</sup> candidate material provider is best than rests. It must be elected. Ranking results obtained using Grey-Multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis technique for  $\lambda = 0$ , 0.5, 1 is shown in Fig.4.



Fig.4. Ranking results obtained using Grey-Multi-objective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis technique for X- $\lambda = 0, 0.5, 1, Y- y_j^+$  (Ranking is based on maximum value is best)

## **IX. CONCLUSION:**

In the presented work, the constructed multi practices decision making performance appraisement module (constituted by mixing the segregated the green-economic-Lean measures and their corresponding interrelated practices) is proposed conjunctive with Grey-Multiobjective optimization on the basis of simple ratio analysis to tackle the incomplete information of decision makers against vague practices

## **REFERENCES:**

[7]

- Stanujkic, D., Magdalinovic, N., Jovanovic, R. and Stojanovic, S. (2012). An objective Multi-Measures approach to optimization using MOORA method and interval grey numbers', Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 18, 2, 331-363.
- [2] Samantra, C., Sahu, N. K., Datta, S. & Mahapatra, S. S. (2013). Decision-Making in Selecting Reverse Logistics Alternative using Interval-Valued Grey Sets Combined with VIKOR Approach. International Journal of Services and Operations Management, 14, 2, 175-196.
- [3] Sahu N. K., Datta S, Mahapatra S. S. (2012).
  Establishing green supplier appraisement platform using grey concepts", Grey Systems: Theory and Application, Vol. 2, No 3, pp.395 418.
- [4] Vinodh, S., Hari, P.N.E. and azhil, S.K. (2011).
  Evaluation of leanness using fuzzy association rules mining, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Vol. 57, No. 1-4, pp. 343-352.
- [5] Liu, J., Liu, X., Liu, Y., & Liu, S. (2015c). A new decision process algorithm for MCDM problems with interval grey numbers via decision target adjustment, The Journal of Grey System, 27, 2, 104-120.
- [6] Naim, M.M. and Gosling, J (2011). On leanness, agility and le-agile supply chains, International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 131, No. 1, pp.

Matawale, C.R., Datta, S. and S.S. (2012). Mahapatra, Leanness Estimation Fuzzy in Context, Proceedings of the 3rd National Conference on Recent Advances in Manufacturing (RAM-2012), June 27-29, 2012, organized by Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sardar Vallabhbhai Of National Institute Technology.

7 | P a g e