Identification of Heterotic Crosses for Water Use Efficiency Traits and Yield in Relation to Moisture Stress Tolerance in Groundnut

K. John^{#1} and P. Raghava Reddy*²

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Institute of Frontier Technology, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India ^{1 #}Senior Scientist (Genetics & Plant Breeding), RARS, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India ² *Former Vice- Chancellor, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Andhra Pradesh, India

* Corresponding author: johnlekhana@rediffmail.com

Abstract: Estimates of relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were obtained for twenty four crosses generated through L x T analysis for water use efficiency traits and yield. The crosses viz., Narayani x Dharani with high relative heterosis and standard parent heterosis and Prasuna x TCGS-1416 with high better parent heterosis for pod yield per plant also expressed positive mid-parent, better parent and standard parent heterosis for root length. The other promising crosses exhibited high significant positive mid-parent, better parent and standard parent heterosis for certain attributes viz., Prasuna x Dharani for SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 40 days after sowing, TAG-24 x TMV-2 for SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 60 days after sowing, TAG-24 x Dharani for relative water content at 40 days after sowing, K-6 x ICGV-91114 for relative water content at 60 days after sowing and Greeshma x TCGS-1416 for harvest index. TAG -24 x ICGV-91114showed significant negative heterosis for specific leaf area at 60 days after sowing. These hybrids offer best possibilities of future exploitation for development of high yielding moisture stress tolerant groundnut genotypes.

Key words : Relative heterosis, Heterobeltiosis, Standard heterosis, Water use efficiency traits, Groundnut.

INTRODUCTION

Most of the groundnut breeding programmes aimed at improving productivity have been directed towards hybridization followed by selection in segregating generation. Since groundnut is a predominately self pollinated crop and commercial product of F_1 seed is not currently feasible, it was felt that heterosis in groundnut is unstable. However, the magnitude of heterosis provide the basis of genetic diversity and a guide for choice of desirable parents for developing superior F₁ hybrids to exploit hybrid vigour and are building gene pool to be employed in breeding programme. Heterosis in F1 generation expressed in terms of superiority over the better/midparent/standard parent is of direct relevance not only for developing hybrids in cross-pollinated crops, but also in self-pollinated crops because heterotic crosses help the breeder to select appropriate crosses which would lead to desirable transgressive segregants in advanced generations (Arunachalam et al., 1984). Groundnut is a highly self pollinated crop and the scope for exploitation of hybrid vigour will depend on the direction and magnitude of heterosis, biological and feasibility and nature of gene action. Study of heterosis will have a direct bearing on the breeding methodology to be employed for varietal improvement. Therefore, the present study was planned to estimate the extent of heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard parent in twenty four F₁s for water use efficiency traits and yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of 12 F_1 crosses of generated through Line x Tester analysis using six lines *viz.*, TAG-24, Prasuna, Rohini, Narayani, K-6 and Greeshma and four testers *viz.*, Dharani, TMV-2, TCGS-1416 and ICGV-91114. These were grown in a randomized block design with three replications during *kharif* 2014 at RARS, Tirupati. The F_1 hybrids were grown in plots consisting of single row of 5m length having a spacing of 30.0×10 cm.

In parents and F_1 hybrids, twenty plants per replication per genotype per replication per cross were sampled for recording observation. Data were recorded for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (at 40 and 60 DAS), specific leaf area (cm^2g^{-1}) ((at 40 and 60 DAS), specific leaf weight (g cm⁻²) (at 40 and 60 DAS), relative water content (%) (at 40 and 60 DAS), root length (cm), dry haulms weight per plant (g), harvest index (%) and pod yield per plant (g). The water use efficiency traits were measured on all fourleaflets of third leaf from the top on main axis at 40 and 60 DAS. Heterosis over mid parent (relative heterosis), better parent (heterobeltiosis) and standard parent (standard heterosis) in F_1 generation in each cross were estimated using standard formulae.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Heterosis or hybrid vigour is measured as an increase or decrease of a trait mid- parental value (relative heterosis), over better parent (heterobeltiosis) and standard parent (standard heterosis) for water use efficiency traits and yield are computed and presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Days to 50 per cent flowering

The range of heterosis over mid parent varied from -9.50% (Narayani x Dharani) to 9.20% (Rohini x ICGV-91114). Significant positive heterosis was observed in two F1s, while significant negative heterosis was noticed in four F_1 s (Table 1). The F_1 , Rohini x ICGV-91114 recorded the highest significant positive heterosis over mid-parent. Heterobeltiosis for days to 50 per cent flowering ranged from -13.04% (Rohini x TCGS-1416) to 3.26% (TAG-24 x Dharani). Among twenty four F₁s studied, nine F1s exhibited significant negative heterosis over better parent. The F1, TAG-24 x Dharani recorded the highest positive heterosis over better parent. Standard heterosis ranged from -19.19% (Narayani x TCGS-1416) to -3.03% (Prasuna x TMV-2 and Prasuna x Dharani). Significant negative heterosis was noticed in twenty F_1 s.

Days to maturity

Relative heterosis ranged from -9.89% (K-6 x ICGV-911142) to 2.65% (TAG-24 x Dharani). Six F₁s exhibited positive non significant relative heterosis. Significant negative heterosis over mid-parent was observed in five F₁s. The minimum and maximum heterosis for days to maturity over better parent was observed in F₁s Greeshma x Dharani (-15.56%) and TAG-24 x Dharani (1.89%) respectively. Eighteen F₁s exhibited significant negative heterosis over better parent. Standard heterosis ranged from -

SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 40 days after sowing

The range of heterosis over mid parent varied from -11.54% (Rohini x TMV-2) to 12.68% (Prasuna x Dharani). Significant positive heterosis was observed in four F_1 s only, while significant negative heterosis was noticed in only three F1s. Positive and non significant heterosis was noticed in seven F₁s. Eight F₁s exhibited non-significant negative heterosis over better parent. Heterobeltiosis for SCMR ranged from -11.28% (Rohini x TMV-2) to 15.02% (Prasuna x Dharani). Among twenty four F₁s studied, seven F₁s exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent. Positive and non-significant heterosis was noticed in eight F₁s and six F₁s exhibited non significant negative heterosis over better parent. These results are in agreement with the results of Seethala Devi (2004).

SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 60 days after sowing

of heterosis over mid parent The range 17.00% (K-6 x ICGV-91114) to varied from 15.25% (TAG-24 x TMV-2). Significant positive heterosis was observed in three F₁s and thirteen F₁s recorded significant and negative heterosis over mid-parent. Positive and non-significant heterosis was noticed in four F₁s and non-significant negative heterosis over better parent was observed in four F₁s. Heterobeltiosis for SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 60 days after sowing ranged from -20.45% (K-6 x ICGv- 91114) to 15.25% (TAG-24 x TMV-2). Among twenty four F₁s studied, three F₁s exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent. Thirteen F₁s recorded significant and negative heterosis over better parent. Positive and nonsignificant heterosis was noticed in only two F₁s and non significant negative heterosis over better parent was noticed four in four F1s. Standard heterosis ranged from -12.50% (K-6 x ICGV-91114) to 15.25% (TAG-24 x TMV-2). Significant positive heterosis was observed in three F1s. Significant negative heterosis was observed in nine F₁s. Positive and non significant heterosis was noticed in seven F₁s and non significant negative heterosis over better parent was noticed four in five F₁s. These results are in agreement with the results of Seethala Devi (2004).

Specific leaf area (cm^2g^{-1}) at 40 days after sowing The range of heterosis over mid-parent varied from 7.79% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416) to 97.19% (Rohini x Dharani). Out of twenty four F₁s, seventeen F₁s recorded significant positive heterosis over midparent and non significant positive heterosis was noticed in six F₁s. Non significant negative heterosis was noticed in only one F1. Heterobeltiosis ranged from -20.00% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416) to 88.44%CGS-1416).Significant and positive (Narayani x T heterosis was observed in four F1s. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in twenty F₁s. Standard heterosis ranged from -9.57% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416) to 128.57% (K-6 x Dharani). Out of twenty four F_1s , twenty three F_1s recorded significant positive heterosis over standard parent.

Specific leaf area (cm^2g^{-1}) at 60 days after sowing The range of heterosis over mid-parent varied from -28.93% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 28.42% (Rohini x Dharani). Out of twenty four F₁s, six F₁s recorded significant positive heterosis over midparent. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in fifteen F₁s. Heterobeltiosis ranged from 41.46% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 17.03% (Rohini x Dharani). Significant and positive heterosis was observed in seventeen F_1 s. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in only three F_1 s. Standard heterosis ranged from 0.68% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 97.19% (Rohini x Dharani). Out of twenty four F_1 s twenty F_1 s recorded significant positive heterosis over standard parent and significant negative heterosis was noticed in only one F_1 .

Peanut genotypes with low SLA had more photosynthetic machinery and the potential for greater assimilation per unit leaf area and large genotypic differences in the rate of light saturated photosynthesis per unit area was reported by Wright and Bell (1992). Heterosis for SLA in groundnut was reported earlier by Pallas and Samish (1974), Bhagsari and Brown (1976) and Pallas (1982).

Specific leaf weight $(g \ cm^{-2})$ at 40 days after sowing

The relative heterosis for specific leaf weight varied from -57.14% (TAG-24 x TCGS- 1416) to 25.00% (K-6 x TCGS-1416). Four out of twenty four F₁s registered significant negative heterosis and six F₁s showed no significant positive heterosis. Heterobeltiosis ranged from -58.14% (K- x Dharani) to 0.09% (K-5 x TCGS-1416). Four F₁s, recorded significant negative heterosis while negative was observed. Standard heterosis ranged from -58.14% (Prasuna x TMV-2) to 0.00% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416). As many as seven F₁s recorded significant negative heterosis over standard parent.

Specific leaf weight $(g \ cm^{-2})$ at 60 days after sowing

The relative heterosis for specific leaf weight varied from -88.89% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 14.29%% (K-6 x TMV-2 and Greeshma x TMV-2). Only one out of twenty four F_1 s registered significant negative heterosis. Heterobeltiosis ranged from -88.89% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 14.29%% (K-6 x TMV-2 and Greeshma x TMV-2). Only one F_1 recorded significant negative heterosis. Standard heterosis ranged from - 88.89% (TAG-24 x ICGV-91114) to 0.00% (Greeshma x Dharani and Greeshma x TCGS-1416). One F_1 recorded significant negative heterosis over standard parent.

Relative water content (%) at 40 days after sowing

The range of heterosis over mid-parent varied from – 4.69% (TAG-24 x TCGS-1416) to 10.37% (TAG-24 x Dharani). Out of twenty four F_1s , six F_1s recorded significant positive heterosis over mid-parent. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in only three F_1s . Heterobeltiosis ranged from -5.06% (TAG-24 x TCGS-1416) to 9.73% (TAG-24 x Dharani). Significant and positive heterosis was observed in only one F_1 . Significant negative heterosis ranged from – 4.31% (TAG-24 x TCGS-1416 and Prasuna x Dharani) to 10.59% (TAG-24 x Dharani). Out of twenty four F_1s two F_1s recorded significant positive heterosis over standard parent and significant negative heterosis was also noticed in two F_1s .

Relative water content (%) at 60 days after sowing

The range of heterosis over mid-parent varied from - 9.09% (TAG-24 x TMV-2) to 5.47% (K-6 x ICGV-91114). Out of twenty eight F₁s, two F₁s recorded significant positive heterosis over mid-parent. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in twelve F₁s. Heterobeltiosis ranged from -11.11% (TAG-24 x TMV-2) to 2.66% (K-6 x ICGV-91114).

Significant negative heterosis was noticed in fourteen F_1 s. Standard heterosis ranged from -9.02% (Greeshma x TMV-2) to 5.88% (K-6 x ICGV-91114). Out of twenty four F_1 s, only one F_1 recorded

significant positive heterosis over standard parent. Significant negative heterosis was noticed in eleven F_1s .

Root length (cm)

Relative heterosis ranged from -3.08% (Rohini x TCGS-1416) to 2.07% (Narayani x Dharani). None of the F₁s showed significant positive and significant negative heterosis over mid parent.

The F₁, Greeshma x ICGV-91114 recorded the lowest (-73.96%) and the highest heterosis by Narayani x Dharani (42.97%) over better parent, respectively. None of the F₁s showed significant positive and significant negative heterosis over better parent. Standard heterosis ranged from -28.00% (Rohini x TCGS- 1416) to 42.40% (Narayani x Dharani). Twenty F₁s recorded significant positive heterosis over standard parent

Dry haulm weight per plant (g)

The F₁s recorded minimum and maximum relative heterosis are K- x ICGV- 91114 (-46.89%) and Greeshma x Dharani (78.31%), respectively. Fifteen F1s registered significant positive heterosis and seven F₁ s showed significant negative heterosis. Heterosis over better parent for dry haulm weight per plant ranged from 51.04% (K-6 x ICGV-91114) to 54.53% (Greeshma x Dharani). Nine F1 s recorded significant positive heterosis and significant negative heterosis was observed in nine F₁s. Standard heterosis ranged from -16.36% (Rohini x TMV-2) to 162.36% (Prasuna x TMV-2). Twenty F₁s recorded significant positive heterosis heterosis while three F_1s exhibited significant and negative heterosis over standard parent.

Harvest index (%)

Relative heterosis ranged from -29.29% (Greeshma x ICGV-91114) to 42.93% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416). As many as ten $F_{1}s$ recorded significant positive heterosis over mid parent while significant negative heterosis was observed in eleven $F_{1}s$. Heterobeltiosis was minimum in the F_{1} , Greeshma x ICGV-91114 (-37.20%) and was maximum in Greeshma x TCGS-1416 (38.18%). Five $F_{1}s$ recorded significant positive and fourteen $F_{1}s$ negative heterosis over better parent, respectively. Standard heterosis ranged from -34.93% (Greeshma x ICGV-91114) to 19.06% (Greeshma x TCGS-1416). Significant and positive heterosis in desirable direction was observed in four $F_{1}s$.

Eleven F_{1} s recorded significant negative heterosis over standard parent. Earlier Vinit Vyas Nagda and Sharma (2001) reported for dry haulms yield per plant. The findings corroborate with the results of Swe and Branch (1986), Suresh Kumar (1993) and Nisar Ahmed (1995).

Pod yield per plant (g)

Relative heterosis ranged from -58.82% (Greeshma x ICGV-91114) to 77.73% (Narayani x Dharani). Nine F_{1s} recorded significant positive heterosis.

Nine F_{1s} recorded significant negative heterosis over mid parent. Heterobeltiosis ranged from -73.99% (Greeshma x ICGV-91114) to 60.69% (Prasuna x TCGS-1416). Seven F_{1s} recorded significant

positive heterosis while thirteen $F_{1}s$ registered significant negative heterobeltiosis. Standard heterosis ranged from -39.28% (Rohini x TMV-2) to 104.61(Narayani x Dharani). Seventeen $F_{1}s$ recorded significant heterosis and fourn $F_{1}s$ registered

significant negative over standard parent. Heterosis for pod yield in groundnut was also reported by

Arunachalam *et al.* (1982), Deshmukh (1985), Reddi *et al.* (1989), Bansal *et al.* (1993), Varman and Raveendran (1997), Rudraswamy *et al.* (1999), Parmar *et al.* (2004), Sharma and Gupta (2008) and Jivani *et al.* (2009).

CONCLUSION

From the forgoing discussion it can be concluded that crosses Narayani x Dharani with high relative heterosis and standard parent heterosis and Prasuna x TCGS-1416 with high better parent heterosis for pod yield per plant also expressed positive mid-parent, better parent and standard parent heterosis for root length. The other crosses recorded high significant positive mid-parent, better parent and standard parent heterosis for certain attributes *viz.*, Prasuna x Dharani for SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 40 days after sowing, TAG-24 x TMV-2 for SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 60 days after sowing,

TAG-24 x Dharani for relative water content at 40 days after sowing, K-6 x ICGV-91114 for relative water content at 60 days after sowing and Greeshma x TCGS-1416 for harvest index. TAG -24 x ICGV-91114showed significant negative heterosis for specific leaf area at 60 days after sowing. These hybrids offer best possibilities of future exploitation for development of high yielding moisture stress tolerant genotypes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported under the UGC Research award to the first author by the University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. Arunachalam V., A .Bandopadhyay, S. N. Nigam and R. W. Gibbons (1982). Heterotic potential of single cross in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) *Oleagineux* 37: 416-418.

2. Stokes and Hull (1930) Arunachalam, V., A. Bandopadhyay, S.N.Nigam and R.W.Gibbons (1984). Heterosis in relation to genetic divergence and specific combining ability in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Euphytica*, 33 : 33-39.

3. Bansal, V. K., P. R. Satiya, V. P. Gupta, A. S. Sangh and M. M. Verma (ed.) D. S. Virk, G.S. Chahal (1993). Heterosis in relation to plant type in groundnut for yield in heterosis breeding in crop plants theory and application short communication Symposium Ludhiana 23-24 Feb. 1993, 6-7.

4. Bhagsari A S and Brown R H 1976 Photosynthesis of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes. Peanut Science 3: 1-9.

5. Jivani, L. L., M. D. Khanpara, V. H. Kachhadia, and J. H. Vacchani (2009). Combining ability for pod yield and its components in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L) *International J. of Agric. Sci.*, 5(1): 248-250.

6. Nisar Ahmed (1995). Heterosis, combining ability and inter relationships among yield and yield attributes in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India.

7. Pallas J E and Samish Y B 1974 Photosynthetic response of peanut. Crop Science 14: 478-482.

8. Pallas J E 1982 Photosynthetic traits of selected peanut genotypes. Peanut Science 9: 14-17.

9. Parmar, D. L., L. Rathnakumar, P. S. Bharodia and J. R. Dobaria (2004). Genetic basis of heterosis in crosses involving adapted and exotic groundnut germplasm. Short Papers Presented at the National Symposium on "Enhancing Productivity of Groundnut for Sustaining Food and Nutritional Security" 11-13 October-2004 at NRCG, Junagadh. 10. Reddi, M. V., K. R. Reddy, K. C. M. Reddy, K. H. P. Reddy, P. R. Reddy and G. L. K. Reddy (1989). Heterosis and combining ability in 6 x 6 diallel set of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *J. of Res., APAU* 17 : 378-383.

11. Rudraswamy, P., S. D. Nehru, R. S. Kulkarni and A. Manjunath (1999). Estimation of genetic variability and inbreeding depression in six crosses of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Mysore J. of Agric. Sci.*, 33(2) : 248-252.

12, Seethala Devi G 2004 Genetic studies on certain morphological and physiological attributes in 10 F₂ populations of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.

13. Suresh Kumar, S (1993). Studies on combining ability, variability and interrelationship in 15 F_3 progenies of 6 x 6 diallel of groundnut. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, APAU, Hyderabad.

14. Swe, S. T and Branch, W. D (1986). Estimates of combining ability and heterosis among peanut cultivars. Peanut Science 13: 70-74.

15. Varman, P.V and Raveendran, T.S(1997). Comparison of single and three way crosses in

groundnut. Madras Agric. J., 84(2): 70-73.

16. Vinit Vyas Nagda and Sharma, S. P (2001). Heterosis for pod yield and its components in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) *Crop Res.*, (Hisar) 22 : 2, 267-270.

17. Wright G C and Bell M J 1992 Plant population studies in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in sub-tropical Australia in water limited conditions. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 32: 189-196.

	Heterosis (%)							
Crosses	Day	ys to 50% flow	ering	Days to maturity				
	Relative heterosis	Heterobelti osis	Standard heterosis	Relative heterosis	Heterobeltiosis	Standard heterosis		
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	-7.45**	-12.12**	-12.12**	-0.95	-8.89**	-10.00**		
TAG-24 x TMV-2	-1.14	-2.25	-12.12**	-8.95**	-9.06**	-11.92**		
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	1.66	0.00	-7.07*	0.00	0.00	1.92		
TAG-24 x Dharani	4.97	3.26	-4.04	2.65	1.89	1.95		
Prasuna x Dharani	-1.54	-3.03	-3.03	0.00	-1.85	1.92		
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	-1.64	-6.25*	-9.09**	0.94	-10.93**	-12.88**		
Prasuna x TMV-2	2.13	0.00	-3.03	-1.87	-2.78	0.96		
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	-7.45**	-9.38**	-12.12**	-2.35	-3.70	0.00		
Rohini x TMV-2	-0.57	-12.12**	-12.12**	-6.48*	-11.28**	7.28**		
Rohini x ICGV-91114	9.20**	2.30	-10.10**	-5.16	-12.83**	-10.78**		
Rohini x Dharani	5.95*	-3.26	-10.10**	-10.14	-14.72**	-9.88**		
Rohini x TCGS-1416	-4.76	-13.04**	-19.19**	-9.31	-7.44**	-3.53		
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-6.45*	-12.12**	-12.12**	-2.79	-6.58*	-9.65**		
Narayani x ICGV-91114	2.30	2.30	-10.10**	-3.79	-13.53**	-13.53**		
Narayani x TMV-2	2.79	0.00	-7.07*	-2.75	-17.36**	-14.53**		
Narayani x Dharani	-9.50**	-11.96**	-18.18**	-2.27	-4.44*	-3.53		
K-6 x Dharani	-4.76	-9.09**	-9.09**	-4.44	-5.35*	-3.53		
K-6 x TCGS-1416	-1.69	-3.33	-12.12**	-6.95*	-11.89**	-10.06**		
K-6 x ICGV-91114	-4.40	-5.43	-12.12**	-9.89**	-12.15**	-11.00**		
K-6 x TMV-2	4.40	3.26	-4.04	-4.90	-5.35*	-3.53		
Greeshma x Dharani	-2.65	-7.07*	-7.07*	-2.19	-15.56**	-2.88		
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	-1.69	-3.33	-12.12**	-1.14	-2.88	-12.88**		
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	0.00	-1.09	-8.08**	-7.75**	-9.30**	-13.53**		
Greeshma x TMV-2	-1.10	-2.17	-9.09**	-2.27	-4.44*	-3.53		
S.E.	0.83	0.96	0.96	0.89	1.10	1.10		

Table 1: Estimates of heterosis for water use efficiency traits and yield in groundnut

Contd....

	Heterosis (%)									
		SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at								
Crosses		40DAS			60DAS					
	Relative heterosis	Heterobe ltiosis	Standard heterosis	Relative heterosis	Heterobeltiosis	Standard heterosis				
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	2.52	4.61*	6.78**	1.07	0.25	1.92				
TAG-24 x TMV-2	11.64**	13.91**	16.27**	15.25**	15.25**	17.17**				
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	0.79	2.44	8.47**	-11.02**	-14.39**	-5.83*				
TAG-24 x Dharani	2.01	2.67	7.63**	0.41	-0.41	1.25				
Prasuna x Dharani	12.68**	15.02**	17.46**	0.83	0.00	1.67				
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	7.32**	9.54**	11.86**	-4.10	-4.10	-2.50				
Prasuna x TMV-2	1.97	3.60	9.75**	-5.51*	-9.09**	0.00				
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	6.02*	6.67**	11.86**	0.00	-0.82	0.83				
Rohini x TMV-2	-11.54**	-11.28**	-11.02**	-5.49*	-6.67*	-6.67*				
Rohini x ICGV-91114	1.60	1.90	2.20	0.42	-1.64	0.00				
Rohini x Dharani	-2.83	0.45	4.58	-2.81	-8.33**	0.83				
Rohini x TCGS-1416	-2.89	-0.58	2.46	-5.65*	-6.83*	-6.83*				
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-1.69	-1.49	-1.69	-5.53*	-7.50*	-7.50*				
Narayani x ICGV-91114	1.61	1.83	1.61	-0.42	-3.28	-1.67				
Narayani x TMV-2	3.94	7.98**	11.8^{**}	-6.07*	-12.12**	-3.33				
Narayani x Dharani	-2.01	0.83	3.39	-2.98	-5.00	-5.00				
K-6 x Dharani	-5.93*	-5.53*	-5.93*	-5.39*	-5.79*	-5.00				
K-6 x TCGS-1416	0.59	1.02	0.59	-11.11**	-11.48**	-10.00**				
K-6 x ICGV-91114	0.79	4.92*	8.47**	-17.00**	-20.45**	-12.50**				
K-6 x TMV-2	-3.61	-0.62	1.69	9.54**	9.09**	10.00**				
Greeshma x Dharani	-2.44	-0.41	1.69	-5.53*	-7.50*	-7.50*				
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	-2.44	-0.41	1.69	-10.55**	-13.11**	-11.67**				
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	-11.02**	-9.6**	-4.24	-10.12**	-15.91**	-7.50*				
Greeshma x TMV-2	-3.69	-3.11	1.61	8.09**	5.83*	5.83*				
S.E.	0.84	0.97	0.97	1.00	1.16	1.16				

Contd....

International Journal of Advanced and Innovative Research $\left(2278\text{-}7844\right)$ / # 73 / Volume 5 Issue 6

	Heterosis (%)								
	Specific leaf area (cm2 g -1) at								
Crosses		40 DAS		60 DAS					
	Relative	Heterobe	Standard	Relative	Heterobelti	Standard			
	heterosis	ltiosis	heterosis	heterosis	osis	heterosis			
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	1.55	-11.34**	18.82**	-28.93**	-41.46**	-9.57**			
TAG-24 x TMV-2	52.49**	52.36**	104.54**	-17.23**	-28.33**	10.72**			
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	78.38**	50.76**	104.54**	-3.20*	-20.45**	22.9**			
TAG-24 x Dharani	42.52**	40.76**	93.42**	-19.22**	-29.83**	8.41**			
Prasuna x Dharani	40.66**	23.17**	63.95**	-14.54**	-31.08**	12.46**			
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	23.83**	23.31**	65.53**	4.72**	-11.37**	44.64**			
Prasuna x TMV-2	84.92**	56.73**	108.62**	2.43	-17.58**	34.49**			
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	26.57**	24.59**	71.20**	-14.64**	-27.53**	18.26**			
Rohini x TMV-2	76.15**	66.43**	87.07**	22.83**	12.23**	35.65**			
Rohini x ICGV-91114	49.49**	37.33**	84.35**	19.21**	15.35**	39.42**			
Rohini x Dharani	97.19**	79.75**	102.04**	28.42**	17.03**	41.45**			
Rohini x TCGS-1416	31.07**	19.14**	63.72**	13.83**	10.55**	33.62**			
Narayani x TCGS-1416	93.48**	88.44**	88.44**	-9.44**	-28.11**	22.32**			
Narayani x ICGV-91114	42.57**	21.62**	63.27**	-2.76	-19.08**	37.68**			
Narayani x TMV-2	83.05**	80.86**	71.43**	-2.37	-22.66**	31.59**			
Narayani x Dharani	77.34**	49.83**	105.90**	-17.96**	-31.52**	16.52**			
K-6 x Dharani	89.3**	61.54**	128.57**	12.41**	-10.48**	51.01**			
K-6 x TCGS-1416	1.32	-1.28	39.68**	-25.72**	-37.97**	4.64*			
K-6 x ICGV-91114	6.59	-11.86**	24.72**	-9.41**	-28.01**	21.45**			
K-6 x TMV-2	27.48**	25.64**	77.78**	-26.36**	-38.32**	4.06			
Greeshma x Dharani	2.21	-8.29	15.42**	-23.16**	-37.04**	-1.45			
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	3.40	0.17	34.57**	-8.60**	-21.3**	23.19**			
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	-7.79	-20.00**	0.68	-23.22**	-37.22**	-1.74			
Greeshma x TMV-2	5.08	0.66	38.32**	-15.11**	-26.67**	14.78**			
S.E.	6.75	7.79	7.79	2.33	2.69	2.69			

Contd....

	Heterosis (%)								
	Specific leaf weight (g cm ⁻²) at 40 DAS 40 DAS								
Crosses		40 DAS							
	Relative	Heterobeltiosi	Standard	Relative	Heterobe	Standard			
	heterosis	S	heterosis	heterosis	ltiosis	heterosis			
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	-14.29	-14.29	-14.29	-88.89**	-88.89**	-88.89**			
TAG-24 x TMV-2	-50.00*	-57.14*	-57.14*	-5.88	-11.11	-11.11			
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	-57.14**	-57.14*	-57.14*	-22.22	-22.22	-22.22			
TAG-24 x Dharani	-50.00*	-57.14*	-57.14*	0.00	-11.11	-11.11			
Prasuna x Dharani	-27.27	-42.86	-42.86	-5.88	-11.11	-11.11			
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	-11.11	-20.00	-42.86	-25.00	-25.00	-33.33			
Prasuna x TMV-2	-45.45	-57.14*	-58.14*	-29.41	-33.30	-33.33			
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	-18.52	-26.67	-47.62*	-6.67	-12.50	-22.22			
Rohini x TMV-2	-38.46	-42.86	-42.86	-29.41	-33.33	-33.33			
Rohini x ICGV-91114	-27.27	-33.33	-42.86	-25.00	-25.00	-33.33			
Rohini x Dharani	-53.85*	-57.14	-57.14*	-29.41	-33.33	-33.33			
Rohini x TCGS-1416	-27.27	-33.33	-42.86	-20.00	-25.00	-33.33			
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-20.00	-42.86	-42.86	-6.67	-22.22	-22.22			
Narayani x ICGV-91114	0.00	-20.00	-42.86	-14.29	-25.00	-33.33			
Narayani x TMV-2	-20.00	-42.86	-42.86	-6.67	-22.22	-22.22			
Narayani x Dharani	-25.00	-40.00	-57.14	7.69	0.00	-22.22			
K-6 x Dharani	-40.00	-58.14	-57.14*	-25.00	-33.33	-33.33			
K-6 x TCGS-1416	25.00	0.09	-28.57	6.67	0.00	-11.11			
K-6 x ICGV-91114	0.00	-28.57	-28.57	-12.5	-22.22	-22.22			
K-6 x TMV-2	0.00	-20.00	-42.86	14.29	14.29	-11.11			
Greeshma x Dharani	-16.67	-28.57	-28.57	12.50	0.00	0.00			
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	0.00	0.00	-28.57	-6.67	-12.50	-22.22			
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	16.67	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00			
Greeshma x TMV-2	0.00	0.00	-28.57	14.29	14.29	-11.11			
S.E.	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.22			

Contd....

International Journal of Advanced and Innovative Research $\left(2278\text{-}7844\right)$ / # 74 / Volume 5 Issue 6

	Heterosis (%)								
	Relative water content at								
Crosses		60 DAS			60 DAS				
	Relative	Heterobelti	Standard	Relative heterosis	Heterobeltiosi	Standard			
	heterosis	osis	heterosis	Relative neterosis	S	heterosis			
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	1.17	0.78	1.57	-7.43**	-10.00**	-4.71*			
TAG-24 x TMV-2	-1.98	-3.50*	-2.75	-9.09**	-11.11**	-5.88**			
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	-4.69**	-5.06**	-4.31**	0.96	-2.96	2.75			
TAG-24 x Dharani	10.37**	9.73**	10.59**	-1.15	-4.07*	1.57			
Prasuna x Dharani	-3.17*	-4.31**	-4.31**	-1.74	-3.05	-0.39			
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	2.41	2.41	0.00	-7.31**	-8.02**	-5.49**			
Prasuna x TMV-2	1.19	0.00	0.00	-4.5**	-6.87**	-4.31*			
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	1.39	0.39	0.00	-1.55	-3.05	-0.39			
Rohini x TMV-2	-3.11*	-3.86**	-2.35	-0.77	-3.00	1.57			
Rohini x ICGV-91114	3.94**	1.93	3.53*	-5.52**	-7.12**	-2.75			
Rohini x Dharani	-0.78	-1.54	0.00	-5.81**	-8.99**	-4.71*			
Rohini x TCGS-1416	0.19	-0.77	0.78	-2.11	-4.49*	0.00			
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-1.38	-1.57	-1.57	0.78	0.00	1.57			
Narayani x ICGV-91114	0.60	-0.39	-0.78	-6.38**	-6.56**	-5.1**			
Narayani x TMV-2	0.20	0.00	0.00	3.94*	1.93	3.53			
Narayani x Dharani	-3.15*	-3.15*	-3.53*	-4.87**	-5.79**	-4.31*			
K-6 x Dharani	-1.57	-1.96	-1.96	-1.93	-3.42	-0.39			
K-6 x TCGS-1416	2.79*	1.98	1.18	-2.50	-3.42	-0.39			
K-6 x ICGV-91114	3.15*	2.75	2.75	5.47**	2.66	5.88**			
K-6 x TMV-2	-0.99	-1.18	-1.57	-5.61**	-7.22**	-4.31*			
Greeshma x Dharani	2.58*	1.18	1.18	-7.45**	-7.45**	-7.45**			
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	2.62*	2.41	0.00	-4.87**	-5.43**	-4.31*			
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	1.39	0.00	0.00	2.78	1.57	1.57			
Greeshma x TMV-2	0.80	-0.39	-0.78	-8.84**	-9.02**	-9.02**			
S.E.	1.09	1.26	1.26	1.39	1.60	1.60			

	Heterosis (%)							
Crosses		Root length(cm)		Dry haulm weight per plant (g)				
	Relative	Heterobeltio	Standard	Relative	Heterobelti	Standard		
	heterosis	sis	heterosis	heterosis	osis	heterosis		
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	-0.80	-3.69	4.40	22.83**	8.33*	41.82**		
TAG-24 x TMV-2	1.63	-66.87	27.20	5.36	-15.42**	10.73*		
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	0.28	4.06	12.80	-18.33**	-28.54**	24.73**		
TAG-24 x Dharani	-1.12	1.48	10.00	16.67**	8.33**	65.45**		
Prasuna x Dharani	1.85	34.48	40.40	56.36**	25.33**	107.82**		
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	-0.32	-71.88	8.00	42.43**	5.26	74.55**		
Prasuna x TMV-2	-2.37	-18.39	-14.80	54.17**	50.31**	162.36**		
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	0.83	31.80	37.60	18.72**	14.04**	89.09**		
Rohini x TMV-2	1.43	10.19	16.80	-29.23**	-38.67**	-16.36**		
Rohini x ICGV-91114	0.73	-73.44	2.00	6.24	-16.00**	14.55**		
Rohini x Dharani	0.92	0.00	6.00	8.77**	-3.13	69.09**		
Rohini x TCGS-1416	-3.08	-32.08	-28.00	35.85**	28.57**	96.36**		
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-0.28	4.80	4.80	60.31**	38.16**	90.91**		
Narayani x ICGV-91114	-1.67	-78.75	-18.40	34.28**	5.66	46.00**		
Narayani x TMV-2	-0.12	2.41	2.00	6.98**	-4.17	67.27**		
Narayani x Dharani	2.07	42.97	42.40	-8.75**	-13.10**	32.73**		
K-6 x Dharani	-1.10	-9.63	-2.40	-27.94**	-39.51**	-10.91*		
K-6 x TCGS-1416	0.33	-71.77	8.40	34.83**	3.700	52.73**		
K-6 x ICGV-91114	-0.48	-7.04	0.40	-46.89**	-51.04**	-14.55**		
K-6 x TMV-2	1.25	25.19	35.20	-6.67*	-8.33**	40.00**		
Greeshma x Dharani	-1.11	-5.93	1.60	78.31**	54.53**	110.73**		
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	-0.71	-73.96	0.00	56.83**	24.00**	69.09**		
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	1.77	21.85	31.60	-40.35**	-46.88**	-7.27		
Greeshma x TMV-2	0.04	15.56	24.80	9.43**	3.57	58.18**		
S.E.	5.04	5.82	5.82	0.74	0.85	0.85		

Contd....

	Heterosis (%)						
Crosses	На	rvest index (%)		Pod yield per plant (g)			
	Relative	Heterobeltio	Standard	Relative	Heterobelt	Standard	
	heterosis	sis	heterosis	heterosis	iosis	heterosis	
TAG-24 x ICGV-91114	1.47	-5.14**	-5.14**	20.67**	13.16**	29.26**	
TAG-24 x TMV-2	3.65**	-4.69**	-1.23	4.76	-11.23**	1.40	
TAG-24 x TCGS-1416	13.44**	12.92**	-1.81	-2.48	-7.81**	18.24**	
TAG-24 x Dharani	28.96**	23.58**	7.46**	69.57**	57.37**	79.76**	
Prasuna x Dharani	-18.92**	-23.91**	-23.91**	12.33**	4.48	21.44**	
Prasuna x ICGV-91114	-6.36**	-13.57**	-10.43**	42.01**	19.48**	38.88**	
Prasuna x TMV-2	-23.72**	-24.38**	-33.7**	4.92	0.000	28.26**	
Prasuna x TCGS-1416	22.77**	17.19**	2.75	74.53**	60.69**	86.77**	
Rohini x TMV-2	-7.87**	-10.87**	-10.87**	-43.31**	-46.84**	-39.28**	
Rohini x ICGV-91114	4.78**	-0.35	3.26*	24.22**	5.26	20.24**	
Rohini x Dharani	-11.42**	-14.88**	-20.43**	-10.74	-15.63**	8.22**	
Rohini x TCGS-1416	-8.37**	-15.12**	-20.65**	24.57**	15.61**	32.06**	
Narayani x TCGS-1416	-17.00**	-23.91**	-23.91**	-48.93**	-67.32**	16.83**	
Narayani x ICGV-91114	-11.01**	-19.72**	-16.81**	-53.21**	-71.41**	2.20	
Narayani x TMV-2	0.90	-0.76	-14.49**	-50.91**	-66.65**	19.24**	
Narayani x Dharani	-16.62**	-18.43**	-32.03**	77.73**	13.17**	104.61**	
K-6 x Dharani	4.29**	-2.17	-2.17	-22.81**	-25.74**	-19.64**	
K-6 x TCGS-1416	-20.42**	-26.57**	-23.91**	51.50**	31.30**	42.08**	
K-6 x ICGV-91114	25.35**	24.32**	8.91**	-23.73**	-29.69**	-9.82**	
K-6 x TMV-2	0.22	-4.30	-16.16**	-5.06	-9.63	-2.20	
Greeshma x Dharani	4.02**	-6.16**	-6.16**	-7.39	-38.32**	85.77**	
Greeshma x ICGV-91114	-29.29**	-37.2**	-34.93**	-58.82**	-73.99**	-21.64**	
Greeshma x TCGS-1416	42.93**	38.18**	19.06**	-15.63**	-39.85**	81.16**	
Greeshma x TMV-2	25.43**	24.86	0.43	-23.96**	-49.63**	51.70**	
S.E.	0.58	0.67	0.67	0.70	0.70	0.92	

* Significant at 5% level

** Significant at 1% level

Table 2: List of best F_1 s for water use efficiency traits and yield traits in groundnut

		Best heterotic crosses based on	l	
Character	Relative heterosis	Heterobeltiosis	Standard heterosis	
Days to 50 per	NARAYANI X DHARANI	NARAYANI X DHARANI	ROHINI X TCGS-1416	
cent flowering	PRASUNA X TCGS-1416	PRASUNA X TCGS-1416	NARAYANI X DHARANI	
cent nowering	TAG-24 X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TMV-2	IVARATANI A DIIARANI	
	K-6 X ICGV-91114	NARAYANI X TMV-2	NARAYANI X TMV-2	
Days to maturity	TAG-24 X TMV-2	GREESHMA X DHARANI	NARAYANI X ICGV-91114	
	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	ROHINI X DHARANI	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
	PRASUNA X DHARANI	PRASUNA X DHARANI	PRASUNA X DHARANI	
SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at	TAG-24 X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TMV-2	
40 DAS	PRASUNA X ICGV-91114	NARAYANI X TMV-2	PRASUNA X ICGV-91114	
10 2115	FRASUNA A ICOV-91114		PRASUNA X TCGS-1416	
SPAD chlorophyll	TAG-24 X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TMV-2	
meter reading at	K-6 X TMV-2	K-6 X TMV-2	K-6 X TMV-2	
60 DAS	GREESHMA X TMV-2	GREESHMA X TMV-2	GREESHMA X TMV-2	
	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416		GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
Specific leaf area	K-6 X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
$(cm^2 g^{-1})$ at 40	GREESHMA X DHARANI	K-6 X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-91114	
DAS	OREESTIWA A DHARAIN	TAG-24 X ICGV-91114	R o'A led v) III i	
Specific leaf	TAG-24 X ICGV-91114	TAG-24 X ICGV-91114	TAG-24 X ICGV-91114	
area $(cm^2 g^{-1})$	K-6 X TMV-2	K-6 X TMV-2	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
at 60 DAS	K-6 X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X DHARANI	
	GREESHMA X TMV-2	K-6 X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
Specific leaf	K-6 X TMV-2	GREESHMA X ICGV-91114		
weight (g cm ⁻²) at 40 DAS	GREESHMA X DHARANI	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416		
40 DAS		GREESHMA X TMV-2		
Specific leaf	K-X TMV-2	GREESHMA X TMV-2	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
weight (g cm ⁻²) at	GREESHMA X TMV-2 GREESHMA X DHARANI	K-6 X TMV-2 GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X DHARANI	
60 DAS	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHWAA TCOS-1410		
Relative water	TAG-24 X DHARANI	TAG-24 X DHARANI	TAG-24 X DHARANI	
content (%) at 40	ROHINI X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-9114	ROHINI X ICGV-91114	
DAS	K-6 X ICGV-9114	PRASUNA X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-91114	
Relative water	K-6 X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-91114	
content (%) at 60	NARAYANI X TMV-2	NARAYANI X TMV-2	TAG-24 X TCGS-1416	
DAS	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	NARAYANI X TMV-2	
	NARAYANI X DHARANI	NARAYANI X DHARANI	NARAYANI X DHARANI	
Root length(cm)	PRASUNA X DHARANI	PRASUNA X DHARANI	PRASUNA X DHARANI	
	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	PRASUNA X TCGS-1416	PRASUNA X TCGS-1416	
	GREESHMA X DHARANI	GREESHMA X DHARANI	PRASUNA X TMV-2	
Dry haulm weight $par plant(q)$	NARAYANI X TCGS-1416	PRASUNA X TMV-2	GREESHMA X DHARANI	
per plant (g)	PRASUNA X DHARANI	NARAYANI X TCGS-1416	PRASUNA X DHARANI	
	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	GREESHMA X TCGS-1416	
Harvest index (%)	TAG-24 X DHARANI	K-6 X ICGV-91114	K-6 X ICGV-91114	
	GREESHMA X TMV-2	TAG-24 X DHARANI	TAG-24 X DHARANI	
	NARAYANI X DHARANI			
Pod yield per	PRASUNA X TCGS-1416		NARAYANI X DHARANI	
plant (g)				